



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

mitted. It would seem that the policy of discouraging crimes should counteract a tendency to perjury, unless the inducement offered is out of proportion to the legitimate labor required.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE — CONTROL BY STATES — RESERVATION BY STATE OF RIGHT TO FIX INTERSTATE RATES AS CONDITION OF INCORPORATION OR LEASE. — A state-owned interstate railroad was leased by a statute incorporating the lessee and providing that all rates charged should conform to the schedules fixed by a state commission. The lessee filed rates within the maximum fixed by the Interstate Commerce Commission but higher than the maximum of the state commission, though this maximum was reasonable. *Held*, that the state cannot compel the lessee to reduce the rates. *State v. Western & Atlantic R. Co.*, 76 S. E. 577 (Ga.). See NOTES, p. 539.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE — WHAT CONSTITUTES INTERSTATE COMMERCE — EFFECT OF INTENT OF PERSON TO WHOM GOODS ARE SHIPPED. — The Sabine Company shipped lumber by rail from Ruliff, Texas, to Sabine, Texas, and indorsed over the bills of lading to one who had contracted to purchase. The purchaser had the lumber switched to the docks at Sabine, where it remained for thirty days until the arrival of a ship, chartered by the purchaser, on which it was loaded and carried abroad. At the time of the shipment by the Sabine Company the purchaser intended the lumber to go abroad but had not decided upon the particular destination. The Sabine Company did not know that the lumber was to go beyond Sabine. *Held*, that the shipment from Ruliff to Sabine is part of a foreign shipment and is not subject to state rate regulations. *Texas & New Orleans R. Co. v. Sabine Tram Co.*, 33 Sup. Ct. 229.

A landmark in the development of the law as to the carriage of goods having an ultimate destination beyond a state was the decision that a steamer operating on the navigable waters of the United States but wholly within a state, could be regulated by Congress if it carried goods to be transshipped beyond the state. *The Daniel Ball*, 10 Wall. (U. S.) 557. On the same principle the business of an intrastate railroad handling such goods is held not liable to state taxation. *Norfolk & Western R. Co. v. Pennsylvania*, 136 U. S. 114, 10 Sup. Ct. 958. Further it has been decided that when goods are shipped under a bill of lading for an intrastate trip the regulation of the carriage is for Congress and not the state if the shipper intended to tranship abroad, though he had not decided on a particular destination. *Southern Pacific Terminal Co. v. Interstate Commerce Commission*, 219 U. S. 498, 31 Sup. Ct. 279; *Railroad Commission of Ohio v. Worthington*, 225 U. S. 101, 32 Sup. Ct. 653. But cf. *General Oil Co. v. Crain*, 209 U. S. 211, 28 Sup. Ct. 475. In holding that the character of a shipment may be determined by the intent of the purchaser, the court takes a step in advance, and one not in accord with the view expressed in a slightly earlier case. *Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fé Ry. Co. v. Texas*, 204 U. S. 403, 27 Sup. Ct. 360. That case, however, is possibly distinguishable on its facts, as the transshipment was made not by the original purchaser but by a person who purchased the goods from him.

INTOXICATING LIQUORS — WILSON ACT — APPLICABILITY TO FOREIGN COMMERCE. — A judgment was obtained against the plaintiff in a state court of last resort for the amount of a license tax imposed on all liquor dealers as a police regulation by the state legislature under the authority of the Wilson Act. The plaintiff, who dealt only in foreign liquors, appealed on the ground that the Wilson Act applied only to interstate commerce, and that the tax was therefore imposed in violation of the provisions of the federal Constitution forbidding states to lay imposts, and giving to Congress the power to regulate